UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,716	08/07/2003	Hiraku Murayama	011350-317	1956
	7590 04/04/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	APANIUS, MICHAEL		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3736		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/635,716	MURAYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Michael Apanius	3/36					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply original contened statutory period for reply original contents.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause				
(a) $oxtime$ They raise new issues that would require further con		E below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	octed claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		cied ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / timenament (102 02+).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alk		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	orazio il cazimicoa il a coparato, i	intoly mod antionamor	it danieding the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowen	oo boosuso:				
	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce pecause.				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (In the state of the sta	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Max Hindenburg/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces new claim language that has not been previously considered. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the proposed amendment would require further consideration and/or search.